DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDI	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud Pet	OAKLAND DIVISION
18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud	
Mis	
☐ mea	PATRICK JAMES BERHAN  Aug 16 2023
PENALTY: See attached sheet.	
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  1) If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
FBI person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court,	—
give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY  4)  On this charge
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of:  U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	5) On another conviction Federal State  6) Awaiting trial on other charges  If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant  magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	DATE OF ARREST Month/Day/Year
	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form Ismail J. Ramsey	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agenc	у
Name of Assistant U.S. Eric Cheng, Ajay Krishnamu Alethea Sargent	rthy,
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL IN	FORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
SUMMONS NO PROCESS* WARRANT	Bail Amount: NO BAIL
If Summons, complete following:  Arraignment Initial Appearance  Defendant Address:	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
	Date/Time: Before Judge:
	belofe duage.

Count 1: Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud, 18 U.S.C. § 1349

- Maximum Sentence: 20 years
- Maximum Fine: \$250,000.00 or twice the gross gain or loss
- Maximum Length of Supervised Release: 3 years
- Special Assessment of \$100 per felony count

- Maximum Sentence: 20 years
- Maximum Fine: \$250,000.00 or twice the gross gain or loss
- Maximum Length of Supervised Release: 3 years
- Special Assessment of \$100 per felony count

AU 257 (Rev. 07/0)	TD / TO A C	DIMINIAL ACTION IN LICE	
DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELAT	TIVE TO A C	CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. I	DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDIC	CTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Jud	
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPE	ERSEDING	NORTHERN DISTRICT (	OF CALIFORNIA
18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud	Petty	OAKLAND DIV	ISION
18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud	Minor	C DEFENDANT - U.S	
,	Misde-	BEI ENDANT GIG	FILED
l	☐ meanor	MORTEZA AMIRI	Aug 16 2023
PENALTY: See attached sheet.	Felony	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER 4:23-cr-00264 JSW	Mark B. Busby CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO
		DEFEND	ΔNT
PROCEEDING		IS NOT IN CUSTODY	
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if an	ny)	Has not been arrested, pendir  1) If not detained give date any process summons was served on about	orior
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State	Court,	2) Is a Fugitive	
☐ give name of court		3) Is on Bail or Release from (sh	ow District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District		IS IN CUSTODY	
□ which were dismissed on motion	SHOW CKET NO.	<ul> <li>4)  On this charge</li> <li>5)  On another conviction</li> <li>6)  Awaiting trial on other charges</li> <li>If answer to (6) is "Yes", show</li> </ul>	
	GISTRATE ASE NO.	I Has defainer I I 'SS I	ay/Year
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form Ismail J. Ram	nsey	DATE TRANSFERRED TO U.S. CUSTODY	Month/Day/Year
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S	S. Agency		
Name of Assistant U.S. Eric Cheng, Ajay Kri Altorney (if assigned)  Eric Cheng, Ajay Kri Alethea Sargent		This report amends AO 257 p	previously submitted
PROCESS: ADDITION	NAL INFORMA	ATION OR COMMENTS ————	
SUMMONS NO PROCESS* X WAR	RANT Bail A	Amount: NO BAIL	
If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance Defendant Address:	* Whe	ere defendant previously apprehended on con nt needed, since Magistrate has scheduled a	
	Date	/Time: Before	e Judge:
Comments			-

# Count 1: Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud, 18 U.S.C. § 1349

- Maximum Sentence: 20 years
- Maximum Fine: \$250,000.00 or twice the gross gain or loss
- Maximum Length of Supervised Release: 3 years
- Special Assessment of \$100 per felony count

- Maximum Sentence: 20 years
- Maximum Fine: \$250,000.00 or twice the gross gain or loss
- Maximum Length of Supervised Release: 3 years
- Special Assessment of \$100 per felony count

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIV	/E TO A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: ☐ COMPLAINT ☐ INFORMATION ☒ INDICTI	AFAIT
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPER	Name of District Goart, analysis badge/integritude Econtrol
	Petty OAKLAND DIVISION
18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud	AE
	Misde- DEFENDANT - U.S FILED
	meanor SAMANTHA GENOVEVA PETERSON Aug 16 2023
	Felony DISTRICT COURT NUMBER Mark B. Busby CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
PENALTY: See attached sheet.	4:23-cr-00264 JSW NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  1) X If not detained give date any prior
FBI	summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Co	urt, 2) 🔲 Is a Fugitive
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another dis	trict ————————————————————————————————————
per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY
	4)  On this charge
this is a reprosecution of	
charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion	
of: DOCKE	ET NO.     6)   Awaiting trial on other charges
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a	Has detainer Yes If "Yes"
pending case involving this same defendant MAGIS	TRATE   been filed?  No
prior proceedings or appearance(s)	DATE OF Month/Day/Year
before U.S. Magistrate regarding this	ARREST 7
defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form Ismail J. Ramse	y DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
▼ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. A	gency
Name of Assistant U.S. Eric Cheng, Ajay Krishi Attorney (if assigned) Alethea Sargent	namurthy,
ADDITIONA	L INFORMATION OR COMMENTS —
PROCESS:  ☐ SUMMONS ☐ NO PROCESS* ☑ WARRA	NT Bail Amount: NO BAIL
If Summons, complete following:	NO BAIL
Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Defendant Address:	
	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments:	

# Count 1: Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud, 18 U.S.C. § 1349

- Maximum Sentence: 20 years
- Maximum Fine: \$250,000.00 or twice the gross gain or loss
- Maximum Length of Supervised Release: 3 years
- Special Assessment of \$100 per felony count

- Maximum Sentence: 20 years
- Maximum Fine: \$250,000.00 or twice the gross gain or loss
- Maximum Length of Supervised Release: 3 years
- Special Assessment of \$100 per felony count

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE T	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: ☐ COMPLAINT ☐ INFORMATION ☒ INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDII	
18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud Pett	OAKLAND DIVISION
18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud	
Misc	de- FILED
□ mea	Aug 16 2023
Felo	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER Mark B. Busby
	4:23-cr-00264 JSW  A:23-cr-00264 JSW  NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT  SAN FRANCISCO
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY  Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	1) X If not detained give date any prior
FBI	summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive
give hame or essent	3)  Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	
	IS IN CUSTODY
this is a reprosecution of	4)  On this charge
charges previously dismissed	5)  On another conviction
which were dismissed on motion of:	
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this processition relates to a	
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same	Has detainer Yes If "Yes" give date
defendant MAGISTRAT CASE NO.	E   Deeri filed / No J filed
prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST
defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year
Furnishing Information on this form Ismail J. Ramsey	TO U.S. CUSTODY
■ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency  Name of Assistant U.S. Fric Cheng Ajay Krishnamu	
Attorney (if assigned)  Eric Cheng, Ajay Krishnamur  Alethea Sargent	miny, — This report amends 7/6 267 previously submitted
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL IN	FORMATION OR COMMENTS —
SUMMONS NO PROCESS* WARRANT	Bail Amount: NO BAIL
If Summons, complete following:	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or
Arraignment Initial Appearance  Defendant Address:	warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Deletidant Address.	Date/Time: Before Judge:
	Date/Tillie before Judge
Comments:	1

# Count 1: Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud, 18 U.S.C. § 1349

- Maximum Sentence: 20 years
- Maximum Fine: \$250,000.00 or twice the gross gain or loss
- Maximum Length of Supervised Release: 3 years
- Special Assessment of \$100 per felony count

- Maximum Sentence: 20 years
- Maximum Fine: \$250,000.00 or twice the gross gain or loss
- Maximum Length of Supervised Release: 3 years
- Special Assessment of \$100 per felony count

AO 201 (Nev. 0110)	
DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE	TO A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMEN	NT Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSED	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	OAKLAND DIVISION
18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud	
	DEI ENDART = 0.0
949	eanor BRAULI RODRIGUEZ JALAPA
	elony DISTRICT COURT NUMBER Aug 16 2023  Mark B. Busby
PENALTY: See attached sheet.	4:23-cr-00264 JSW  clerk, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.
	1) X If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
FBI person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court,	
give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/propositing is transferred from another district	
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	
	IS IN CUSTODY
this is a reprosecution of	- 4) On this charge
charges previously dismissed	5) On another conviction
which were dismissed on motion of:	
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	6) Awaiting trial on other charges  If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
<u></u>	— If answer to (o) is fes, show harrie of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same	Has detainer Yes If "Yes"
defendant MAGISTRA	TE been filed? No filed
prior proceedings or appearance(s)	DATE OF Month/Day/Year
before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	ARREST '
	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form Ismail J. Ramsey	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
▼ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agen	cy
Name of Assistant U.S. Eric Cheng, Ajay Krishnam	urthy, This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
Attorney (if assigned) Alethea Sargent	
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL II	NFORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
☐ SUMMONS ☐ NO PROCESS* ☒ WARRANT	Bail Amount: NO BAIL
If Summons, complete following:	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or
Arraignment Initial Appearance  Defendant Address:	warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Deletidant Address.	Data/Times
	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments:	

#### Count 1: Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud, 18 U.S.C. § 1349

- Maximum Sentence: 20 years
- Maximum Fine: \$250,000.00 or twice the gross gain or loss
- Maximum Length of Supervised Release: 3 years
- Special Assessment of \$100 per felony count

- Maximum Sentence: 20 years
- Maximum Fine: \$250,000.00 or twice the gross gain or loss
- Maximum Length of Supervised Release: 3 years
- Special Assessment of \$100 per felony count

AO 237 (Nev. 6/76)		
DEFENDANT INFORMATION R	ELATIVE TO	D A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: $\square$ COMPLAINT $\square$ INFORMATION $\boxtimes$	INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED	SUPERSEDING	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud	Petty	OAKLAND DIVISION
18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud	☐ Minor	DESEMBANT, ILC
	☐ Misde	DEFENDANT C.S
	meand	
		Aug 16 2023 DISTRICT COURT NUMBER Mark B. Busby
PENALTY: See attached sheet.		4:23-cr-00264 JSW CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
		SAN FRANCISCO
		DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING		IS NOT IN CUSTODY
PROCEEDING  Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title	lo if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.
	e, ii ariy)	1) X If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
FBI		
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or give name of court	State Court,	2) Is a Fugitive
		3)  Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from a per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show		
— per (elicle che) i reap 20, 21, ci 40. Chew	District	IS IN CUSTODY
		4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed		5) Congrether conviction
which were dismissed on motion	SHOW	5) On another conviction Federal State
of:	DOCKET NO.	6) Awaiting trial on other charges
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE		If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a		TE INVOCIT
pending case involving this same	MAGIOTERATE	Has detainer Yes If "Yes" give date
defendant	MAGISTRATE CASE NO.	
prior proceedings or appearance(s)		DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST
before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under		Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person		DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year
	l J. Ramsey	TO U.S. CUSTODY
∪.S. Attorney      Oth	ner U.S. Agency	
	Ajay Krishnamurth	hy, This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
Attorney (if assigned) Alethea Sarge		
PROCESS:	DITIONAL INFO	ORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
SUMMONS NO PROCESS* X	WARRANT	Bail Amount: NO BAIL
If Summons, complete following:	•	
Arraignment Initial Appearance		* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Defendant Address:		
		Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments:		

# Count 1: Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud, 18 U.S.C. § 1349

- Maximum Sentence: 20 years
- Maximum Fine: \$250,000.00 or twice the gross gain or loss
- Maximum Length of Supervised Release: 3 years
- Special Assessment of \$100 per felony count

- Maximum Sentence: 20 years
- Maximum Fine: \$250,000.00 or twice the gross gain or loss
- Maximum Length of Supervised Release: 3 years
- Special Assessment of \$100 per felony count

# United States District Court

# FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VENUE: OAKLAND

**FILED** 

Aug 16 2023

Mark B. Busby
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

V.

PATRICK JAMES BERHAN,
MORTEZA AMIRI,
AMANDA CARMELLA THEODOSY,
a/k/a AMANDA CARMELLA NASH,
SAMANTHA GENOVEVA PETERSON,
ERNESTO JUAN MEJIA-OROZCO, and
BRAULI RODRIGUEZ JALAPA

DEFENDANT(S).

# INDICTMENT

18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud (one count)
18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud (six counts)
18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) – Forfeiture Allegation

A true bill.			
/s/ Foreperson of the Grand Jury			
	Foreman		
Filed in open court this 16th	day of		
August			
	Brittany Sims, Clerk		
Jan Circo	Bail, \$ NO BAIL		
lon. Magistrate Judge Lisa J. Cisneros			

**FILED** ISMAIL J. RAMSEY (CABN 189820) 1 United States Attorney 2 Aug 16 2023 3 Mark B. Busby CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 SAN FRANCISCO 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO. 4:23-cr-00264 JSW 11 Plaintiff. 12 VIOLATIONS: 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Wire 13 ٧. Fraud: 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud; 14 (1) PATRICK JAMES BERHAN, 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) – (2) MORTEZA AMIRI, Forfeiture Allegation 15 (3) AMANDA CARMELLA THEODOSY, a/k/a AMANDA CARMELLA NASH, (4) SAMANTHA GENOVEVA PETERSON. OAKLAND VENUE 16 (5) ERNESTO JUAN MEJIA-OROZCO, and (6) BRAULI RODRIGUEZ JALAPA, 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 INDICTMENT 21 The Grand Jury charges: Introductory Allegations 22 23 At all times relevant to this Indictment: 24 The Pittsburg Police Department ("PPD") was the police department for the city of 25 Pittsburg, located in the Northern District of California. 2. The Antioch Police Department ("APD") was the police department for the city of 26 27 Antioch, located in the Northern District of California. 28 Patrick JAMES BERHAN resided in the Northern District of California and was 3.

INDICTMENT

5

7

8

13

16

19

21

employed as a police officer with PPD.

- 4. Morteza AMIRI resided in the Northern District of California and was employed as a police officer with APD.
- 5. Amanda Carmella THEODOSY a/k/a Amanda Carmella NASH ("THEODOSY") resided in the Northern District of California and was employed as a police officer with PPD.
- 6. Samantha Genoveva PETERSON resided in the Northern District of California and was employed by APD, including as a community services officer (CSO).
- 7. Ernesto Juan MEJIA-OROZCO ("MEJIA") resided in the Northern District of California and was employed as a police officer with PPD.
- 8. Brauli RODRIGUEZ JALAPA ("RODRIGUEZ") resided in the Northern District of California and was employed as a police officer of PPD or the Oakland Housing Authority.
  - 9. Individual-1 resided in the Northern District of California.
- 10. University-1 was a distance learning institution based in Santa Ana, California offering classes online. The institution took applications from prospective students, accepted tuition payments via check and credit card, and awarded university-level degrees following the successful completion of requisite coursework and exams.
- 11. The defendants enrolled at University-1, which offered a Bachelor of Science degree in Criminal Justice.
- 12. Transactions using the Venmo payment service were initiated by individuals in the Northern District of California and/or received by Individual-1 in the Northern District of California and sent for processing, via wire, through Venmo's servers located outside the state of California.
- 13. Transactions using Visa credit and/or debit cards were initiated by or on behalf of individuals in the Northern District of California and sent for processing, via wire, through servers located outside the state of California.

#### The Scheme and Artifice to Defraud

Beginning no later than in or about June 2019, and continuing through at least in or about 14. May 2021, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendants knowingly conspired to devise and execute a scheme and artifice to defraud as a material matter, and to obtain money and

6

9

13 14

15

12

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

24

25

23

26 27

28

property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and by omission and concealment of material facts.

As part of the scheme to defraud:

- The defendants were employed at police departments that offered reimbursements toward 15. higher education tuition and expenses, as well as pay raises and/or increased benefits upon completion of a degree.
- The defendants employed Individual-1 to fraudulently take courses, submit essays, and 16. complete exams on their behalf, rather than complete coursework on their own as was required to earn the degrees at University-1.
- Between in or about June 2019 and in or about August 2019, BERHAN utilized 17. Individual-1 to take and complete multiple courses on his behalf toward a Bachelor Science in Criminal Justice. University-1 thereafter awarded a degree to BERHAN based on the fraudulent coursework of Individual-1 for BERHAN. BERHAN then applied for and received reimbursements and increases to his pay from PPD.
- Following Individual-1's completion of BERHAN's coursework, BERHAN promoted 18. Individual-1's services to take and complete similar University-1 coursework for other police officers and employees as a further part of the scheme to defraud. BERHAN also benefited from payments received by Individual-1 in furtherance of the scheme.
- 19. As a further part of the scheme to defraud, between in or about January 2020 and in or about April 2020, AMIRI employed Individual-1 to take and complete multiple courses on his behalf toward a Bachelor Science in Criminal Justice. AMIRI paid Individual-1 using Venmo on multiple occasions, including a \$250 payment on or about February 18, 2020. University-1 thereafter awarded a degree to AMIRI based on the fraudulent coursework of Individual-1 for AMIRI. AMIRI then applied for and received reimbursements and increases to his pay from APD.
- 20. As a further part of the scheme to defraud, between in or about May 2020 and in or about June 2020, THEODOSY employed Individual-1 to take and complete multiple courses on her behalf toward a Bachelor Science in Criminal Justice. THEODOSY paid Individual-1 using Venmo on multiple occasions, including a \$230 payment on or about May 27, 2020. University-1 thereafter

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

27

28

awarded a degree to THEODOSY based on the fraudulent coursework of Individual-1 for THEODOSY. THEODOSY then applied for and received reimbursements and increases to her pay from PPD.

- 21. As a further part of the scheme to defraud, between in or about November 2020 and in or about January 2021, PETERSON employed Individual-1 to take and complete multiple courses on her behalf toward a Bachelor Science in Criminal Justice. PETERSON paid Individual-1 using Venmo on multiple occasions, including a \$275 payment on or about December 7, 2020. University-1 thereafter awarded a degree to PETERSON based on the fraudulent coursework of Individual-1 for PETERSON. PETERSON then applied for and received reimbursements and increases to her pay from APD.
- 22. As a further part of the scheme to defraud, between in or about December 2020 and March 2021, MEJIA employed Individual-1 to take and complete multiple courses on his behalf toward a Bachelor Science in Criminal Justice. MEJIA paid Individual-1 using cash, via BERHAN. MEJIA paid tuition to University-1 in installments, including approximately \$100 on or about January 18, 2022 using a Visa card. MEJIA applied for and received reimbursements and increases to his pay from PPD based on the fraudulent coursework of Individual-1 for MEJIA.
- 23. As a further part of the scheme to defraud, in or about April 2021, RODRIGUEZ employed Individual-1 to take and complete courses on his behalf toward a Bachelor of Science in Criminal Justice. RODRIGUEZ paid Individual-1 using cash, via BERHAN. RODRIGUEZ paid tuition to University-1, including approximately \$12,130 on or about May 8, 2021 using a Visa card. RODRIGUEZ then requested reimbursement from his employer, the Oakland Housing Authority police department, based on the fraudulent coursework of Individual-1 for him.

26

24.

1

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

24

23

25

26 27

28

forth here. 25. Beginning no later than in or about June 2019 and continuing through at least in or about May 2021, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendants,

Paragraphs 1 through 23 of this Indictment are re-alleged and incorporated as if fully set

PATRICK JAMES BERHAN. MORTEZA AMIRI, AMANDA CARMELLA THEODOSY, a/k/a AMANDA CARMELLA NASH, SAMANTHA GENOVEVA PETERSON, ERNESTO JUAN MEJIA-OROZCO, and BRAULI RODRIGUEZ JALAPA,

and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did knowingly conspire to devise and intend to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud as to a material matter and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and by omission and concealment of material facts, and, for the purpose of executing such scheme or artifice and attempting to do so, did transmit, and cause to be transmitted, by means of wire communication in interstate commerce, certain writings, signs, and signals, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.

- COUNTS TWO THROUGH SEVEN: (18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud)
- Paragraphs 1 through 23 of this Indictment are re-alleged and incorporated as if fully set 26. forth here.
- 27. On or about the dates set forth in the separate counts below, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, for the purpose of executing the aforementioned scheme and artifice to defraud and attempting to do so, the defendants set forth in the separate counts below did knowingly transmit and cause to be transmitted in interstate and foreign commerce, by means of a wire communication, certain writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds, specifically:

INDICTMENT

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

COUNT	DEFENDANT	DATE	WIRE
2	PATRICK JAMES BERHAN	December 22, 2020	\$2,400 payment to University-1 using Capital One Visa Signature card ending in -8234
3	MORTEZA AMIRI	February 18, 2020	\$250 payment to Individual-1 using Venmo
4	AMANDA CARMELLA THEODOSY a/k/a AMANDA CARMELLA NASH	May 27, 2020	\$230 payment to Individual-1 using Venmo
5	SAMANTHA GENOVEVA PETERSON	December 7, 2020	\$275 payment to Individual-1 using Venmo
6	ERNESTO JUAN MEJIA OROZCO	January 18, 2022	\$100 payment to University-1 using Bank of America Visa card ending in -3015
7	BRAULI RODRIGUEZ JALAPA	May 8, 2021	\$12,130 payment to University-1 using Capital One Visa card ending in -0215

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

**FORFEITURE ALLEGATION:** (18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c))

The allegations contained in this Indictment are re-alleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

Upon conviction for any of the offenses set forth in this Indictment, the defendants,

#### PATRICK JAMES BERHAN, MORTEZA AMIRI, AMANDA CARMELLA THEODOSY, a/k/a AMANDA CARMELLA NASH, SAMANTHA GENOVEVA PETERSON. ERNESTO JUAN MEJIA-OROZCO, and BRAULI RODRIGUEZ JALAPA,

shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), all property, real or personal, constituting, or derived from proceeds the defendant obtained directly and indirectly, as the result of those violations.

If any of the property described above, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:

cannot be located upon exercise of due diligence; a.

	Ousc 4.20 or 00204	Doddinent 1	1 1100 00/10/20 1 age 20 01 2	
1	b. has b	een transferred or sold to	or denosited with a third party:	
2		has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;		
3		has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court; has been substantially diminished in value; or		
4		-	r property which cannot be divided v	vithout
5		_	r property which cannot be divided v	vitilout
6	the United States of Americ	a shall be entitled to forfei	ture of substitute property pursuant t	o Title 21,
7	United States Code, Section	853(p), as incorporated by	y Title 28, United States Code, Section	on 2461(c).
8	All pursuant to Title	18, United States Code, Se	ection 981(a)(1)(C), Title 28, United	States Code,
9	Section 2461(c), and Federa	l Rule of Criminal Proced	ure 32.2.	
10				
11	DATED: August 16, 2023		A TRUE BILL.	
12				
13			/s/	
14			FOREPERSON San Francisco, California	
15	ISMAIL J. RAMSEY United States Attorney			
16				
17	/s/ ERIC CHENG			
18		7		
19		rneys		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	II			

INDICTMENT